

## NERGC 2011 Individual Speaker/Session Evaluation Report

S-320 Kacprzak Lesser Known Resources in Poland: Church Archives and Other Sources							*Contribution to Conference Success	
Item	Number of Responses	Average (Mean)	Median Middle # in Ranking	Mode Most Frequent #	Min	Max	# Meeting Criteria	Conf Goal ≥ 80%
<b>Quality of session</b>							# ≥ 3	
Organization and clarity of information	41	4.54	5	5	2	5	40	98%
Holds interest of participants	41	4.54	5	5	2	5	39	95%
Pace and level of information	41	4.51	5	5	2	5	40	98%
Usefulness Syllabus/Resources/Handouts/visuals	37	4.41	5	5	2	5	35	95%
<b>Goals or Learning Outcomes</b>							# ≥ 4	
Learned Something New	40	4.68	5	5	3	5	37	93%
Can Apply to interests	40	4.63	5	5	3	5	37	93%
Discovered important resources/approaches	40	4.65	5	5	3	5	38	95%
Needs/Expectations Met	40	4.60	5	5	3	5	36	90%
<b>Total Number responding for this speaker session</b>	<b>41</b>							

**Key:** Quality items were rated as (1) Poor, (2) Fair, (3) Good, (4) Very Good, and (5) Excellent. The participants indicated whether they disagreed or agreed that the listed outcome was met with (1) Strongly Disagree, (2) Disagree, (3) Neither Disagree nor Agree, (4) Agree or (5) Strongly Agree. See the accompanying document for more information on reading and interpreting your report.

### \*Results:

This session highly contributed to the success of our conference. All four session quality items were rated by 95% or 98% of responders as good, very good or excellent. All four outcomes items also far exceeded the conference criteria of ≥ 80% satisfaction with responders agreeing or strongly agreeing that outcomes or their expectations had been met across the items above the 90% satisfaction level. GREAT JOB! The comments below may provide further insight into the results. Should you have questions or suggestions to make these reports more useful feel free to e-mail NERGC Evaluation Co-Chair, Pam Roberts at [drpamNERGC@charter.net](mailto:drpamNERGC@charter.net). We hope you find this information useful.

### Transcribed comments follow:

If a transcriber could not read a word or phrase note the bracket such as “[ ]” showing that a word or phrase was either assumed or left out.

### Comments related to this speaker session:

Knew subject well; great presentation.

Language difficult to understand and written documents difficult to read.

Excellent sources and very knowledgeable.

Very knowledgeable and personable.

Very nicely done; very good examples.

Refreshing to listen to a speaker from Poland.

Great speaker; knowledgeable.

Very good.

Excellent information! Thank you!

Excellent presentation!

## NERGC 2011 Individual Speaker/Session Evaluation Report

Want her to know that she was able to be understood despite accent.  
Excellent-a wealth of information.  
Hope slide material is in syllabus received because lot there but too little time to see all.  
Interesting. Good sense of humor. Good command of the English language.  
Best presentation all weekend!!  
Aleksandra was well prepared and knowledgeable.

### Topics or speaker recommendations for NERGC 2013

All.  
Repeat session or another topic.  
Polish research.  
More on metrical records.

### Liked MOST about the session

Nice person & personality.  
Location of places to search for ancestors.  
Chance to get a lot of questions answered and her offer to further assist.  
Great info about little used sources.  
The information presented & op to question.  
The information given.  
Knowledgeable speaker; very well organized.  
Aleksandra was very personable and explained whatever people didn't understand. She answered any and all questions thoroughly.  
Anecdotes/humor.

### Liked LEAST about the session

Not enough time to write info down; would like copy of slides.  
Ended too soon! Freezing cold.  
Lots of info in a little time!  
After lunch.  
All was fine.

Very good.  
A unique angle for research-very interesting material.  
Hard to understand due to accent.  
How refreshing to feature a speaker with an accent, who has come from another country for the conference.  
Very good, excellent.

Continuation of lesser known sources for Polish research-maybe part I in Poland, part II in America.  
Process for hiring her-how does that work?  
State archives in Poland.

Motivating style of speaker-don't give up etc.  
Excellent presentation on obscure sources.  
Person from Poland knows proper pronunciation of names and places.  
There's so much I learned about non-traditional sources!! This is hard to find on central and eastern European research learning about many different kinds of records.  
Very hard info to come by; so really appreciated this session.  
Very, very rich in information!  
Photos of old, old documents.  
Very informative.

Obnoxious guy behind me snapping photos of every slide and belching loudly- yuck!  
Not enough time possible to hand copy- addresses for sources; handout would have been great.  
Nothing